

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 10/603,992 | 06/25/2003 | Harald Lichtinger | 2003P06347US; 60,426-613 | 4774 |
| 24500 75 | 590 12/14/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| SIEMENS CORPORATION | | | GIBSON, RANDY W | |
| INTELLECTU | AL PROPERTY LAW | DEPARTMENT | | D + DCD > WD /DCD |
| 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ISELIN, NJ 0 | 8830 | • | 2841 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 2 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/603,992 | LICHTINGER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action S | ummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Randy W. Gibson | 2841 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply | this communication app | ears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence addre | ss | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available up after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3 | IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 If date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If the maximum statutory period we If the period for reply will, by statute, If the mailing than three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm | nunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to commu | nication(s) filed on | | : | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | | action is non-final. | : | | | | |
| <u>'=</u> | • | | ers, prosecution as to the m | erits is | | | |
| | | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | • | | | | |
| | · | | , | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | : | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-47</u> is/are p | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | • | | | | |
| | s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | : | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are a | | | ; | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-47</u> is/are r | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are o | - | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are sul | oject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | : | | | | |
| 9) The specification is obje | ected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not reques | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sho | eet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR | 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration | is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO- | 152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| • | | | : . | _ | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is ma | | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | • | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)[| | | • | | | | |
| <u> </u> | of the priority document | | i | | | | |
| <u> </u> | • | s have been received in Ap | · | | | | |
| • | • | - | received in this National Sta | age | | | |
| | the International Bureau | | en and is and | | | | |
| See the attached detaile | d Office action for a list | of the certified copies not r | eceived. | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| Amarka and A | | | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- | 202) | A> □ 1=4=== d= // A | : :mm.osy/DTO 442) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr | | 4) L Interview Si Paper No(s) | ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(| | 5) D Notice of In | formal Patent Application (PTO-1 | 52) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 6) Other: | _· | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/603,992

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 35-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gagnon et al. (US # 5,865,463) in view of Steffens, Jr. (US # 6,282,473 B1). Gagnon et al. discloses a vehicle weight classification system including a seat frame (16) a seat belt assembly consisting of a male member and a female member attached to the vehicle frame (inherent), a plurality of weight sensors (20), and at least one seatbelt tension sensor (Col. 9, line 55 to col. 10, line 17). Gagnon et al disclose the claimed invention except for determining the occupant's center of gravity. Steffens, Jr. teaches that it is known in a four corner seat sensor system, such as the one of Gagnon, to use the four separate weight sensor signals to determine occupant's center of gravity to give more precise control over the amount of inflation of the airbag to prevent injury to the occupant based on his location (Col. 3, lines 16-23; Col. 5, line 48 to col. 6, line 42). It would have been obvious to modify the device of Gagnon et al to determine occupant's center of gravity, as taught by Steffens, Jr., to give better control over the amount of airbag inflation.

Art Unit: 2841

The exact location of the strain gage force sensors on the seat frame stated in some of the dependent claims would dictated by the construction of the seat frame and does not seem to be a patentable difference.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner